FILED

		that the total
1 2 3 4 5	VALERIE E. ALTER, Cal. Bar. No. 239 valter@sheppardmullin.com ELIZABETH S. BERMAN, Cal. Bar No. eberman@sheppardmullin.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90067-6017 Telephone: (310) 228-3700	10 JAN 15 PM 1:21
7 8 9 10 11	FRANK POLEK, Cal. Bar No. 167852 fpolek@sheppardmullin.com 501 West Broadway, 19th Floor	MPTON LLP 85177
12 13 14 15 16	Attorneys for Defendants NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC., NEXTEL SYSTEMS CORPORATION dba NEXTEL LEASING SYSTEMS CORPORATION, NEXTEL OPERATIONS, INC. and SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., and Specially Appearing Defendant SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	WESTERN DIVISION	
20 21	ERIC HERSHLER, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Case No. CV 10-00318 DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
22		[FED. R. CIV. P. 7.1]
23	v.	Complaint Filed: December 10, 2009
24		Served: December 16, 2009
25262728	SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION, a Kansas Corporation; NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC. a Delaware Corporation; NEXTEL SYSTEMS CORPORATION dba NEXTEL LEASING SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a Delaware	

Corporation, NEXTEL OPERATIONS INC., a Delaware Corporation, SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY. INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive, 3 Defendants. 4 5 6 7 Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 7.1(a) ("A nongovernmental corporate party 8 to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that 9 identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% 10 or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation."), the undersigned 11 counsel of record for defendants NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC., NEXTEL SYSTEMS CORPORATION dba NEXTEL LEASING SYSTEMS 13 CORPORATION, NEXTEL OPERATIONS, INC., and SPRINT 14 COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. (erroneously named "Sprint 15 Communications Company, Inc."), and specially appearing defendant SPRINT 16 NEXTEL CORPORATION (collectively the "Sprint Entities") certify as follows: 17 18 19 1. NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC., NEXTEL SYSTEMS CORPORATION dba NEXTEL LEASING SYSTEMS CORPORATION, and 20 NEXTEL OPERATIONS, INC. are wholly owned subsidiaries of Nextel Finance 21 Company, which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nextel Communications, 22 Inc., which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of SPRINT NEXTEL 23 CORPORATION, which is publicly traded. 24

25

26

27

28

2. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. is a limited partnership with the following limited and general members: US Telecom, Inc, UCOM, Inc., Utelcom, Inc., and Sprint International Communications Corp., which

Case 2:10-cv-00318-GW-FMO Document 3 Filed 01/15/10 Page 3 of 4

is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint International, Inc., which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of UtelCom, Inc. Dated: January 15, 2010 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP FRED R. PUGLISI FRANK POLEK VALERIE E. ALTER ELIZABETH S. BERMAN Attorneys for Defendants NEXTEL OF CALIFORNIA, INC., NEXTEL SYSTEMS CORPORATION dba NEXTEL LEASING SYSTEMS CORPORATION NEXTEL OPERATIONS, INC., and SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. and Specially Appearing Defendant SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90067-6017.

On January 15, 2010, I served the following document(s) described as: **DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT** on the interested party(ies) in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes and/or packages addressed as follows:

Michael Louis Kelley, Esq.
Behram V. Parekh, Esq.
Heather M. Peterson,, Esq.
KIRKLAND & PACKARD LLP,
2361 Rosecrans Avenue, Fourth Floor
El Segundo, California, 90245.

- BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I served such envelope or package to be delivered on the same day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope or package designated by the overnight service carrier.
- BY HAND DELIVERY: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee(s).
- STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
- FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 15, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.

Xavier Maurice

28

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27